

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,614	06/26/2003	Walter Kailbach	Q76006	4481
23373 . SUGHPLIE MI	7590 01/16/2008		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,,		2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/603,614	KAILBACH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ne 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12, 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the conseque	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Preferences Oited (170-032)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-26-2003.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hessler (US PAT: 6,798,748, filed 9-5-2000, hereinafter Hessler) in view of Player (US PAT: 7,072,361, filed 3-8-2002).

Regarding claim 12, Hessler discloses a method of protecting at least one protected path segment between a first network element (NEA, fig. 1) and a second network element (NEF, fig. 1) in a transmission network by means of at least one protection path segment (fig. 1), the method comprising: the steps od detecting failure (implicit in as much the reference teaches protection switch operation: col. 2 lines 39-41) on the protected path segment (upper path in fig. 1) and bridging a traffic signal to be transmitted over the failed protected path segment to the protection path segment (bottom path in fig. 1), the method being characterized by the use of a tandem connection monitoring function to detect the failure and initiating bridging gap (col. 2 lines 20-41).

Hessler differs from the claimed invention in that he specifically teach: a tandem defect indication to communicate the occurrence of the failure from a second network element to a first network element.

However, Player discloses system and method for the transport of backwards information between simplex devices which teaches: use of various messages to determine the health of the network in tandem connection monitoring (col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Hessler's system to provide for the following: a tandem defect indication to communicate the occurrence of the failure from a second network element to a first network element as this arrangement would facilitate to monitor the network in order to maintain network paths for continuity of service as taught by Player.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Hessler.

Regarding claim 14, Hessler discloses a network management system for a transmission network, the system being adapted and programmed to: provide at least one protected path segment (upper path in fig. 1) between a first network elements (NE A, fig. 1) and a second network element (NE F, fig. 1), provide at least one protection path segment (bottom path in fig. 1) between a first network elements (NE A, fig. 1) and

fig. 1),path segment (bottom path in fig. 1), upon occurrence of a failure on the protected path terminate detected and communicated by one of the network elements, to provide a new working path between the first and second network elements (col. 2 lines 20-41).

5. Claims 1-11, 13 are allowed.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

--(6,915,463) to Vieregge et al. discloses system and method for performing preemptive protection switching.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614